1	BARRY J. PORTMAN Federal Public Defender
2	JOYCE LEAVITT Assistant Federal Public Defender
3	555 12 th Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94607-3627
4	Counsel for Defendant HASTINGS
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 06-0336 SBA
12	Plaintiff,)) STIPULATION AND
13	v.) ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF
14) CHANGE OF PLEA DATE AND) EXCLUSION OF TIME UNDER THE
15	SHAREEF HASTINGS,) SPEEDY TRIAL ACT, 18 U.S.C. §) 3161 <u>ET SEQ.</u>
16	
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties to this action, that the change of
18	plea date in this case, currently scheduled for Thursday, November 30, 2006, at 10:00 a.m. before
19	Honorable Judge Saundra Brown Armstrong, may be continued to Tuesday, December 19, 2006,
20	
21	at 10:00 a.m. for change of plea. The reason for the request is that additional investigation is
22	necessary in order to determine whether certain enhancements set out in the guidelines would
23	apply in this case. For example, the parties are discussing whether the loss amount attributed to
24	Mr. Hastings should include the amount of the entire conspiracy or the amount attributed to his
2526	actions alone. In order to resolve this issue, the government is investigating the extent of Mr.
	STIP. CONTINUING STATUS DATE - 1 -

ORDER

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the change of plea date in this case, currently scheduled for Thursday, November 30, 2006, at 10:00 a.m. before Honorable Judge Saundra Brown Armstrong, is hereby continued to Tuesday, December 19, 2006, at 11:00 a.m. for change of plea.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time from November 30, 2006, through December 19, 2006, should be excluded in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv) for adequate preparation of counsel so that the government can investigating outstanding issues including, but not limited to, whether the offense was moved to another jurisdiction for the purpose of evading law enforcement, and whether the scope and nature of Mr. Hastings' involvement in this case justifies his being held responsible for the entire loss amount, or only that loss amount attributable to his actions. The Court finds that the ends of justice served by the granting of the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy and public trial because additional investigation is necessary to the preparation of the case and the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account due diligence.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: 11/29/06

HONORABLE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMS RONG
United States District Judge